

# The Mathematical and Physical Limits of Information in Entangled Systems: A Formal Inquiry

Ian Smith

April 13, 2026

## Abstract

This document explores the dichotomy between the mathematical description of quantum states and the operational capacity to extract information from them. By examining the exponential scaling of Hilbert space, the linear constraints imposed by Holevo's Theorem, and the geometric restrictions of the Entanglement Entropy Area Law, we establish a comprehensive framework for understanding the limits of information density in the quantum regime.

## 1 Introduction

The concept of "information" in a quantum system is not a monolithic entity; rather, it is a multifaceted property that varies significantly depending on the observer's objective. Whether one considers the state-space complexity required for a full reconstruction of the wave function or the classical bits retrievable through measurement, the scaling laws diverge from exponential to linear. This paper delineates these boundaries and the physical principles that govern them.

## 2 State Space Complexity: The Exponential Divergence

The most profound distinction between classical and quantum information lies in the principle of superposition and the resulting dimensionality of the state space. For a classical system of  $n$  bits, the state is described by a single point in a set of  $2^n$  possibilities. However, for a quantum system, the state is a vector within a complex Hilbert space.

### 2.1 The Dimension of Hilbert Space

For a system composed of  $n$  two-level quantum systems (qubits), the total state  $|\Psi\rangle$  exists in a tensor product space  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{H}_n$ . The dimension  $D$  of this global Hilbert space is given by:

$$D = 2^n \tag{1}$$

To specify the state of the system exactly, one must provide  $2^n - 1$  complex coefficients (accounting for normalization and global phase).

### 2.2 The Principle of Inseparability

The exponential growth of complexity is a direct consequence of quantum entanglement. In a non-entangled (separable) system, the state can be described by  $n$  individual Bloch vectors, requiring only  $2n$  parameters. However, entanglement implies that the system possesses correlations that cannot be localized to individual constituents. Consequently, the description of a system of approximately 300 qubits would require  $2^{300}$  parameters—a number exceeding the

estimated number of atoms in the observable universe ( $10^{80}$ ). This represents the "Information Bottleneck" of classical simulation: the mathematical description of entanglement scales at a rate that quickly outstrips all physical computational resources.

### 3 Accessible Information: The Linear Constraint

While the mathematical description of a quantum state is exponentially complex, the amount of classical information that can be extracted via measurement is remarkably limited. This discrepancy is formalized by Holevo's Theorem.

#### 3.1 Holevo's Bound

The Holevo bound establishes that for  $n$  qubits, the maximum amount of classical information (Shannon information) that can be retrieved by an observer is:

$$\chi \leq n \text{ bits} \tag{2}$$

This claim is rooted in the fact that any measurement on a quantum system collapses the superposition into a single eigenstate. Even though the pre-measurement state was a complex superposition of  $2^n$  basis states, the measurement process fundamentally "bottlenecks" the data into a linear scaling.

#### 3.2 Superdense Coding and Communication Capacity

An apparent exception arises in the context of Superdense Coding, where the sharing of a pre-existing Bell pair allows a sender to transmit two classical bits by manipulating and sending only one qubit. While this effectively doubles the efficiency ( $2n$ ), the scaling remains strictly linear. The fundamental principle here is that entanglement acts as a resource that can be consumed to enhance communication, but it does not alter the fundamental linear relationship between the number of physical carriers and the accessible